Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 1 (3): 234-238, 2005
ISSN 1549-3644

On the Prime Radical of a Hypergroupoid

Gürsel Yeşilot
Istanbul Technical University Fen-Edebiyat fak. Matematik Böl. 80626 Maslak, Istanbul,Turkey

Abstract: In this study, we give definitions of a prime ideal, a s-semiprime ideal and a w-semiprime ideal for a hypergroupoid K. For an ideal A of K we show that radical of A (R(A)) can be represented as the intersection of all prime ideals of K containing A and we define a strongly A-nilpotent element. For any ideal A of K, we prove that R(A)=∩(s-semiprime ideals of K containing A)= ∩(w-semiprime ideals of K containing A)={strongly A nilpotent elements}. For an ideal B of K put $B^{(o)}=B$ and $B^{(n+1)}=(B^{(n)})^2$. If a hypergroupoid K satisfies the ascending chain condition for ideals then $(R(A))^{(n)}\subseteq A$ for some n. For an ideal A of K we give a definition of right radical of A ($R_+(A)$). If K is associative then $R(A)=R_+(A)=R\_(A)$.

Key words: Hypergroupoids, s-semiprime ideal, w-semiprime ideal, ascending chain

1. Hypergroupoids and Complete ℓ-Groupoids

Definition 1.1: A groupoid K is a system (K, ·), where K is a set and · is a binary operation on K.

Definition 1.2[1]: A complete ℓ-groupoid is a system (K, ·), where K is a complete lattice and · is a binary operation on K which satisfies the following conditions:

$$a\cdot(\underset{t\in T}{\vee} b_t) = \underset{t\in T}{\vee}(a\cdot b_t),\quad (\underset{t\in T}{\vee} b_t)\cdot a = \underset{t\in T}{\vee}(b_t\cdot a)$$

for all a, $b_t\in K$
Let K be a set and denote by 2^K the set of all its subsets.

Definition 1.3[2]: A multivariable binary operation on K is a map $\vartheta: KxK\to 2^K$. A hypergroupoid is a system (K, ϑ), where K is a set and ϑ is a multivariable operation on K.
From now on, we write a·b instead of ϑ (a, b)
Let (K, ·) be a hypergroupoid. For A, B $\in 2^K$. A≠∅, B≠∅, put $A\cdot B=\underset{\substack{a\in A\\ b\in B}}{\cup}(a\cdot b)$ and ∅·A=A·∅=∅ for all A∈ 2^K. Then (2^K, ·) is a complete ℓ-groupoid.

Conversely, If (2^K, ·) is a complete ℓ-groupoid then a restriction of the binary operation of 2^K to K is a multivariable operation on K and K is a hypergroupoid, with respect to this operation.
Let w be a ternary relation on K.

For (a, b)∈KxK, put a·b={x∈K| (a, b, x)∈w}, then (K, ·) is a hypergrupoid.

Conversely, let (K, ·) be a hypergroupoid. Denote by w the set (a, b, c)∈KxKxK such that a·b≠∅ and c∈a·b. Then w is a ternary relation on K.

Hypergroupoids contain the following two classes of algebraic systems.

1. A partial binary operation ϑ on K is a map ϑ:A→K, where A is a subset of KxK. A partial groupoid is a system (K, ·), where · is a partial binary operation on K.
   Let (K, ·) be a partial groupoid and A is the definition domain of ·. For (a, b)∉A put a·b=∅. Then · is defined for all (a, b)∈KxK and (K, ·) is a hypergroupoid.
2. Let {k, ϑ_V, v∈S} be a universal algebra such that every ϑ_V is a binary operation on K. For (a, b)∈KxK put a·b={ϑ_v(a, b), v∈S} then (K, ·) is a hypergroupoid.

2. Prime and Semiprime Elements of an Ordered Gruopoid:

Let (G, ·) be an ordered groupoid[1], ch XIV). An ordered groupoid G is called ℓ_o-groupoid if G is a complete lattice. Denote by 1_G the greatest element of G.

Definition 2.1[1]: Let (G, ·) be an ℓ_o-groupoid. An element p∈G is prime if $p\neq 1_G$ and a·b≤p, for a, b∈G, then a≤p or b≤p.

For a∈G, a≠1_G, denote by $R_G(a)$ the intersection of all prime elements of G containing a. Put $R_G(a)=1_G$ if there are not any element with this property.

Definition 2.2: An element h∈G is s-semiprime if h≠1_G and $a^2\leq h$, for a∈G, implies that a≤h.

For a∈G, a≠1_G, denote by r_G^S(a) the intersection of all s-semiprime elements of G containing a. Put $r_G^S(a)=1_G$ if there are not any element with this property. For a∈G denote by <a> the groupoid generated by a. An element of the groupoid <a> will be denoted by f(a).

Corresponding Author: Gürsel Yeşilot, Istanbul Technical University Fen-Edebiyat fak. Matematik Böl. 80626 Maslak\ Istanbul\Turkey

Definition 2.3: An element $h\in G$ is w-semiprime if $h\neq 1_G$ and $f(a)\leq h$, $a\in G$, $f(a)\in <a>$ implies that $a\leq h$.

Therefore every w-semiprime element is s-semiprime. For $a\in G$, $a\neq 1_G$, denote by $r_G^w(a)$ the intersection of all w-semiprime elements of G containing a. Put $r_G^w(a)=1_G$ if there are not any element with this property. It is clear that $r_G^s(a)\leq r_G^w(a)\leq R_G(a)$ for all $a\in G$.

3. The Prime Radical of an Ideal

Definition3.1: Let K be a hypergroupoid. A right (left) ideal of K is a subset H such that $ha\subseteq H$ (respectively $a\cdot h\subseteq H$) for all $a\in K$, $h\in H$. An (two-side) ideal of K is a subset H such that $ha\subseteq H$ and $ah\subseteq H$ for all $a\in K$, $h\in H$. Denote by Id(K) ($Id_+(K)$, $Id\_(K)$) the set of all ideals (respectively, right ideals, left ideals) of K. Put $\varnothing\in Id(K)$, $\varnothing\in Id_+(K)$, $\varnothing\in Id\_(K)$. Then Id(K), $Id_+(K)$, $Id\_(K)$ are complete lattices with respect to the inclusion relation.

Proposition 3.2: Let K be an hypergroupoid. Then:

1. $\bigcap_{t\in T} A_t\in Id(K)$ and $\bigcup_{t\in T} A_t\in Id(K)$ for any $A_t\in Id(K)$;
2. $\bigcap_{t\in T} B_t\in Id_+(K)$ and $\bigcup_{t\in T} B_t\in Id_+(K)$ for any ${}_t\in Id_+(K)$;
3. $\bigcap_{t\in T} C_t\in Id\_(K)$ and $\bigcup_{t\in T} C_t\in Id\_(K)$ for any $C_t\in Id\_(K)$.

The proof is clear. We next consider the multiplication operation $A\cdot B$ on 2^K.

Definition 3.3: Hypersemigroup is a hypergroupoid K such that $(A\cdot B)\cdot C=A\cdot(B\cdot C)$ for any A, B, $C\in 2^K$.

If K is hypersemigroup then $A\cdot B\in Id(K)$ for any A, $B\in Id(K)$. But there are a hypergroupoid K and A, $B\in Id(K)$ such that $A\cdot B\notin Id(K)$. Therefore for any hypergroupoid K we define a multiplication operation of ideals as follows:

For A, $B\in Id(K)$ denote by $A\cdot B$ the intersection of all ideals of K containing the set $G=\{x|x=a\cdot b,\ a\in A,\ b\in B\}$

Multiplication operations on $Id_+(K)$ and $Id\_(K)$ are introduced similarly.

Proposition 3.4: For any hypergroupoid K, the lattices Id(K), $Id_+(K)$, $Id\_(K)$ are complete ℓ-groupoids with respect to above multiplication operations.

Proof: We give a proof for Id(K) and the proofs for $Id_+(K)$ and $Id\_(K)$ are similar. Suppose A, $B_t\in Id(K)$, $t\in T$. It is clear that $A\cdot(\bigcup_{t\in T} B_t)\supseteq \bigcup_{t\in T}(A\cdot B_t)$

Conversely the ideal $A\cdot(\cup B_t)$ is the smallest ideal containing all elements $a\cdot b$, where $a\in A$, $b\in \bigcup_{t\in T} B_t$.

Let a, $b\in A\cdot(\bigcup_{t\in T} B_t)$.

Since $b\in B_t$ for some $t\in T$ then $a\cdot b\in A\cdot B_t$. Therefore $A\cdot(\bigcup_{t\in T} B_t)\subseteq \bigcup_{t\in T}(A\cdot B_t)$

Now, we apply the definitions and designations of the prime and semiprime elements of ordered groupoids to 2^K, Id(K), $Id_+(K)$, $Id\_(K)$. Put

$R_G(A)=R(A)$, $r_G^s(A)=r^s(A)$, $r_G^w(A)=r^w(A)$ for $G=Id(K)$, $A\in Id(K)$

$R_G(A)=R_+(A)$, $r_G^s(A)=r_+^s(A)$, $r_G^w(A)=r_+^w(A)$ for $G=Id_+(K)$, $A\in Id_+(K)$

$R_G(A)=R\_(A)$, $r_G^s(A)=r_-^s(A)$, $r_G^w(A)=r_-^w(A)$ for $G=Id\_(K)$, $A\in Id\_(K)$

$R_G(A)=R_o(A)$, $r_G^s(A)=r_o^s(A)$, $r_G^w(A)=r_o^w(A)$ for $G=2^K$, $A\in 2^K$.

For $A\in Id(K)$ the ideal R(A) will be called radical of A. An ideal A is called radical if $A=R(A)$

Definition 3.5: An ideal H is maximal if $H\neq K$ and $H\subseteq B\subseteq K$, $B\in Id(K)$ implies that $H=B$ or $B=K$.

For $a\in K$ denote by [a] the intersection of all ideals of K containing a.

Proposition 3.6: Let K be a hypergruopoid. Then any maximal ideal of K is prime if and only if $K=K^2$.

Proof: Let $K=K^2$ and M be a maximal ideal of K. Assume that $A\cdot B\subseteq M$, A, $B\in Id(K)$. If $A\not\subset B$ and $B\not\subset M$ then $A\cup M=K$, $B\cup M=K$. Therefore $K\cdot K=(A\cup M)(B\cup M)=A\cdot B\cup AM\cup MB\cup MM\subseteq M\subseteq K$ by Proposition 3.4. Hence $M=K$. This is a contradiction. Thus M is prime.

Conversely, Let $K^2\neq K$ and $a\in K\backslash K^2$. We prove that $M=K\backslash\{a\}$ is a maximal ideal of K and it is not prime. Let $b\in M\backslash\{a\}$. Then $hb\in M$ and $bh\in M$ for all $h\in K$. Indeed, if there is $h\in K$ such that $hb\notin M$ then $a\in hb$.

Hence $a\in K^2$. It is a contradiction. Thus $hb\in M$ and $bh\in M$ for any $h\in K$. It is clear that M is a maximal ideal. Prove that M is not prime. By $a\notin M$ we have $[a]\not\subset M$. But $[a]\subseteq K^2\subseteq M$. Therefore M is not prime.

Remark: This proposition is known for semigroups[5].

Every sequence $\{x_o, x_1,..., x_n,...\}$, where $x_o=a$, $x_{n+1}\in [x_n]^2$, will be called an s-sequence of the element a.

Definition 3.7: Let $A\in Id(K)$. An element $a\in K$ is strongly A-nilpotent if every s-sequence of a meets A.

Remark: This definition is similar to the definition of the n-sequence[6].

Denote by $n(A)$ the set of all strongly A-nilpotent elements of K.

Theorem 3.8: Let K be a hypergroupoid. Then for any ideal A of K, we have $n(A)=r^s(A)=r^w(A)=R(A)$.

Proof: From the definitions $r^s(A)$, $r^w(A)$, $R(A)$ we obtain $r^s(A)\subseteq r^w(A)\subseteq R(A)$ for any $A\in Id(K)$.

We prove that $n(A)\subseteq r^s(A)$. If there is not an s-semiprime ideal of K containing A then $r^s(A)=K$ and $n(A)\subseteq r^s(A)$.

Assume that there exists an s-semiprime ideal of K containing A. Let $a\in n(A)$ and S be s-semiprime ideal of K containing A. We first prove that $a\in S$. If $a\notin S$, then $[x_o]\not\subset S$, where $x_o=a$. There exists $x_1\in[x_o]^2$ such that $x_1\notin S$ since $[x_o]^2\not\subset S$.

By continuing in this manner we obtain an s-sequence $\{x_o, x_1, ..., x_n, ...\}$ of the element a such that $x_n\notin S$ for all n. But this is a contradiction since every s-sequence of the element a meets A. Thus $a\in S$ and $a\in r^s(A)$ since S is any semiprime ideal containing A. Hence $n(A)\subseteq r^s(A)\subseteq r^w(A)\subseteq R(A)$.

Now we prove that $R(A)=n(A)$. If $n(A)=K$ then $n(A)=r^s(A)=r^w(A)=R(A)=K$. Let $n(A)\neq K$. Hence there exists $b\in K$ such that $b\notin n(A)$. Then there exists an s-sequence $X=\{x_o, x_1, ..., x_n, ...\}$ of the element b such that $X\cap A=\varnothing$. Denote by Σ the set of ideals M in K such that $X\cap M=\varnothing$, $M\supseteq A$. Σ is not empty since $A\in\Sigma$.

We can apply Zorn's lemma to the set Σ so there exists a maximal element P of Σ. We show that P is prime.

First, P is proper since $b\notin P$. Let $B, C\in Id(K)$, $B\not\subset P$, $C\not\subset P$. Then $P\cup B\neq P$ and $P\cup C\neq P$. By the maximality of P in Σ. We have $P\cup B\notin\Sigma$ and $P\cup C\notin\Sigma$. Hence there exist $x_m\in X$, $x_q\in X$ such that $x_m\in P\cup B$, $x_q\in P\cup C$. Then $[x_m]\subseteq P\cup B$, $[x_q]\subseteq P\cup C$. Hence $x_{m+1}\in[x_m]^2\subseteq P\cup B$, $x_{q+1}\in[x_q]^2\subseteq P\cup C$. By continuing in this manner we find $x_{m+1}\in P\cup B$, $x_{q+1}\in P\cup C$ for all t. Put $n=\max(m, q)$. Then $x_n\in P\cup B$, $x_n\in P\cup C$. Hence, $x_{n+1}\in[x_n]^2\subseteq(P\cup B)\cdot(P\cup C)\subseteq P\cup B\cdot C$ by the Proposition 3.4. But $x_{n+1}\notin P$. Hence $B\cdot C\not\subset P$. Therefore P is prime. Thus there exists a prime ideal P such that $b\notin P$. Thus $n(A)=r^s(A)=r^w(A)=R(A)$. From the Theorem 3.8, we obtain that every s-semiprime ideal of K is radical.

The ideal $R(\varnothing)$ will be called the prime radical of the hypergroupoid K and will be denoted by Pr. rad(K).

Corollary 3.9: For any ideal A of K the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $R(A)=A$;
2. If $B^{(n)}\subseteq A$, $B\in Id(K)$, for some n then $B\subseteq A$.
3. If $B^2\subseteq A$, $B\in Id(K)$, then $B\subseteq A$.

Proof: (1)$\Rightarrow$(2)$\Rightarrow$(3) is clear. (3)$\Rightarrow$(2): Let $B^{(n)}\subseteq A$, $B\in Id(K)$, for some n. Then $B^{(n)}=(B^{(n-1)})^2\subseteq A$ implies that $B^{(n-1)}\subseteq A$. By induction on n we obtain $B\subseteq A$. (2)$\Rightarrow$(1): The condition (2) implies that $r^s(A)=A$. By the Theorem 3.8 we see $R(A)=r^s(A)=A$.

Corollary 3.10: For a hypergropoid K the following conditions are equivalent:

1. Every ideal of K is radical;
2. $A\cdot B=A\cap B$ for all $A, B\in Id(K)$;
3. $[a]^2=[a]$ for all $a\in K$.

Proof: We use the following lemma:

Lemma 3.11: $R(A\cdot B)=R(A\cap B)=R(A)\cap R(B)$ for any $A, B\in Id(K)$.

The proof of this lemma follows from the Proposition 1.6[7].

(1)$\Rightarrow$(2): If every ideal of K is radical then using the lemma we obtain $A\cdot B=R(A\cdot B)=R(A)\cap R(B)=A\cap B$. (2)$\Rightarrow$(3): Let $A\cdot B=A\cap B$ for all $A, B\in Id(K)$. Then $A^2=A$ for all $A\in Id(K)$. (3)$\Rightarrow$(1): We prove that every ideal of K is s-semiprime. Let A be an ideal of K. Then $A=\bigcup_{a\in A}[a]$. Using the Proposition 3.4 we have $A^2=(\bigcup_{a\in A}[a])^2=(\bigcup_{a\in A}[a]^2)\cup(\bigcup_{a\in A}[a][b])=\bigcup_{a\in A}[a]=A$ since $[a]\cdot[b]\subseteq[a]\cap[b]$ for any $a, b\in A$. Thus $A^2=A$ for all $A\in Id(K)$. Assume that $B^2\subseteq A$, $B\in Id(K)$. Then $B=B^2\subseteq A$. Therefore A is s-semiprime. From the Theorem 3.8 we obtain that A is radical.

Remark: This corollary is an analog of the similar theorem for associative rings[8].

Definition 3.12: Let $A\in Id(K)$. An ideal B of K is A_s-nilpotent if $B^{(n)}\subseteq A$ for some n.

Proposition 3.13: Let K be hypergroupoid and $A, B\in Id(K)$. If C is B_s-nilpotent and B is A_s-nilpotent then C is A_s-nilpotent.

Proof: Since C is B_s-nilpotent then $C^{(n)}\subseteq B$ for some n. Hence $C^{(n+m)}=(C^{(n)})^{(m)}\subseteq B^{(m)}\subseteq A$ for some m.

Theorem 3.14: Let K be a hypergroupoid satisfying the ascending chain condition for ideals. Then for any ideals A of K, R(A) is A_s-nilpotent.

Proof: Let $A\in Id(K)$. Denote by Σ the set of all A_s-nilpotent ideals H of K such that $H\supseteq A$. Σ is not empty since $A\in\Sigma$. There exists a maximal element P in Σ. We prove that P is s-semiprime. Let $B^2\subseteq P$. Then $(B\cup P)^2=B^2\cup BP\cup PB\cup P^2\subseteq P$. By Proposition 3.13 the ideal $B\cup P$ is A_s-nilpotent. By the maximality of P we have $B\cup P=P$. Hence $B\subseteq P$. This means that P is s-semiprime. Since $P\supseteq A$ then $R(A)\subseteq P$ by Theorem 3.8. But $P^{(n)}\subseteq A\subseteq R(A)$ for some n. Since R(A) is s-semiprime then $P\subseteq R(A)$. Thus $P=R(A)$

Remark: This theorem is similar to the proposition for associative rings[9].

Corollary 3.15: Let K be hypergroupoid satisfying the ascending chain condition for ideals. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $K^{(n)}=\varnothing$ for some n.
2. K doesn't have a prime ideal;
3. K doesn't have a s-semiprime ideal.

A proof follows from Theorem 3.14 and the definition of Pr. rad(K). Denote by $Id_r(K)$ the set of all radical ideals of K. $Id_r(K)$ is a complete lattice with respect to the inclusion relation. Denote by $\vee$ and $\wedge$ the lattice operations in $Id_r(K)$.

Theorem 3.16: Let K be a hypergroupoid. Then the lattice $Id_r(K)$ satisfies the infinite $\wedge$-distributive condition:

$A\wedge(\vee_{t\in T} B_t)=\vee_{t\in T}(A\wedge B_t)$ for any A, $B_t\in Id_r(K)$

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 1.3[7].

Theorem 3.17: Let K be a hypergroupoid satisfying the ascending chain candition for ideals. Then any radical ideal of K is an intersection of finite prime ideals and a such representation is unique.

Proof: First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma: $H\in Id_r(K)$ is prime ideal if and only if H is an $\wedge$-indecomposable element of the lattice $Id_r(K)$.

Proof: Let A be a prime ideal of K and $A=A_1\wedge A_2$, A_1, $A_2\in Id_r(K)$. Then[7].

$A_1A_2\subseteq A_1\cap A_2\subseteq R(A_1\cap A_2)=A_1\wedge A_2=A$. Hence $A_1\subseteq A$ or $A_2\subseteq A$. Then $A=A_1$ or $A=A_2$ Let A be an $\wedge$-indecomposable element in $Id_r(K)$ and $BC\subseteq A$, B, $C\in Id(K)$. Then $R(B\cdot C)\subseteq A$. By the lemma 1.6[7] we have $R(B)\wedge R(C)=R(B\cdot C)\subseteq A$. By the distributivity $Id_r(K)$ we obtain $A=A\vee(R(B)\wedge R(C))=(A\vee R(B))\wedge(A\vee R(C))$. Then $A=A\vee R(B)$ or $A=A\vee R(C)$ since A is $\wedge$-indecomposable. This means that $B\subseteq R(B)\subseteq A$ or $C\subseteq R(C)\subseteq A$.

Thus A is prime. The lemma is proved. By the lemma and the Corollary[1] we obtain that every radical ideal of K is an intersection of finite prime ideals and a such represantation is unique.

4. The Right Prime Radical of an Ideal

Definition 4.1: A right ideal H of K is maximal if $H\neq K$ and $H\subseteq B\subseteq K$, $B\in Id_+(K)$, implies that H=B or B=K.

Proposition 4.2: Let K be a hypergroupoid such that $A\subseteq K\cdot A$ for all $A\in Id_+(K)$. Then any maximal right ideal of K is prime element of $Id_+(K)$.

Proof: Let M be a maximal right ideal of K and $A\cdot B\subseteq M$, A, $B\in Id_+(K)$. If $A\not\subseteq M$ then $M\cup A=K$. By Proposition 3.4 we have $B\subseteq K\cdot B=(M\cup A)\cdot B=MB\cup AB\subseteq M$.

Definition 4.3: An element $1\in K$ is called identity of K if $1\cdot a=a\cdot 1=a$ for all $a\in K$.

Remark: The conditions of Proposition 4.2 are satisfied for groupoids with 1. Thus there exists a prime right ideal in such groupoids.

For an element $a\in K$ denote by $[a]_+$ the intersection of all right ideals containing a. Every sequence $\{x_o, ..., x_n, ...\}$, where $x_o=a$, $x_{m+1}\in[x_m]^2_+$, is called an s_+-sequence of the element a.

Definition 4.4: Let $A\in Id_+(K)$. An element $a\in K$ is strongly A_+-nilpotent if every its s_+-sequence meets A.

Denote by $n_+(A)$ the set of all strongly A_+-nilpotent elements of K.

Proposition 4.5: Let K be a hypergroupoid. For any right ideal A of K are satisfied the following inequalities:

$R(A)\subseteq n_+(A)\subseteq r^s_+(A)\subseteq r^w_+(A)\subseteq R_+(A)$.

Proof: A proof of $n_+(A)\subseteq r^s_+(A)$ is similar to the proof of $n(A)\subseteq r^s(A)$ as in the Theorem 3.8. The inequality $R(A)\subseteq n_+(A)$ immediately follows from the equality $R(A)=n(A)$ and definitions of n(A) and $n_+(A)$.

Theorem 4.6: Let K be a hypergroupoid satisfying the following conditions:

$(K\cdot A)\cdot B=K\cdot(A\cdot B)$, $(A\cdot K)\cdot B=A\cdot(K\cdot B)$ for all A, $B\in Id_+(K)$. Then

$R(A)=n_+(A)=r^s_+(A)=r^w_+(A)=R_+(A)$ for any $A\in Id(K)$.

Proof: By Proposition 4.5 it is enough to prove that $R_+(A)\subseteq R(A)$.

Denote by P(K) the set of all prime ideals of K and by $P_+(K)$ the set of all prime right ideals of K. We prove that $P(K)\subseteq P_+(K)$. Let $Q\in P(K)$ and $B\cdot C\subseteq Q$, B, $C\in Id_+(K)$. Then, $(B\cup K\cdot B)(C\cup K\cdot C)=(B\cdot C)\cup(B\cdot(KC))\cup((K\cdot B)\cdot C)\cup(K\cdot B)\cdot(K\cdot C)\subseteq Q$.

Note that $B\cup KB$ and $C\cup KC$ are ideals of K. Indeed $K\cdot(B\cup KB)=K\cdot B\cup(K\cdot(K\cdot B))\subseteq B\cup KB$.

From $(B\cup KB)(C\cup KC)\subseteq Q$ we obtain $B\subseteq B\cup KB\subseteq Q$ or $C\subseteq C\cup KC\subseteq Q$ since Q is prime. This means $Q\in P_+(K)$.

Thus $P(K)\subseteq P_+(K)$. Therefore we have $R_+(A)\subseteq R(A)$.

Remark: The conditions of this theorem are satisfied for hypersemigroup. Therefore the same theorem is given for nonasociative hypergroupoid K and $A\in Id(K)$ such that $R(A)=R_+(A)$ and $R(A)\neq R\_(A)$.
Let $A\in Id_+(K)$. For $b\in K$ put $b^{(o)}=b$, $b^{(n+1)}=(b^{(n)})^2$.

Definition 4.7: An element $b\in K$ is A_s-nilpotent if $b^{(n)}\subset A$ for some n. An element $b\in K$ is A_w-nilpotent if $f(b)\subseteq A$ for some $f(b)\subseteq\langle b\rangle$.

Denote by $n_o^s(A)$ ($n_o^w(A)$) the set of all A_s-nilpotent (respectively, A_w-nilpotent) elements of K.

Proposition 4.8: For any ideal A of K are hold the following inequalities:

$R(A)\subseteq n_+(A)\subseteq n_o^s(A)\subseteq r_o^s(A)\subseteq R_o(A)$

$R(A)\subseteq n_+(A)\subseteq n_o^w(A)\subseteq r_o^w(A)\in R_o(A)$

The proof is smilar to the proof of Proposition 4.5.

Theorem 4.9: Let K be a hypersemigroup satisfying the condition $K\cdot a=a\cdot K$ for all $a\in K$. Then $R(A)=n_o(A)=r_o(A)=R_o(A)$ for all $A\in Id(K)$.

The proof is smilar to the proof of Theorem 4.6.

REFERENCES

1. Birkhoff, G., 1967. Lattice Theory, Providence, Rhode Island.
2. Levy-Bruhl, J., 1968. Introduction Aux Structures Algebriques, Dunod, Paris.
3. Khadjiev, D. and F. Çallıalp, 1997. On the prime radical of a ring and a groupoid. Marmara Univ. Fen Dergisi, no. 13, İstanbul.
4. Clifford, A.H. and G.B. Preston, 1967. The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups. Vol. I, Providence, Rhode Island.
5. Artamonov, V.A., V.N. Saliy, L.A. Skornyakov, L.N. Shevrin and E.G. Shulgeyfer, 1991. General Algebra, T.2. Moskow, Nauka.
6. Lambek, J., 1966. Lectures on Rings and Modules. Blaisdell Publ., Comp., Waltham-London.
7. Khadjiev, D.J. and T.M. Shamilev, 1997. Complete ℓ-groupoids and their prime spectrums. Algebra i Logica (Algebra and Logic), 86: 341-355.
8. Andrunakievich, V.A. and Y.M. Ryabuhin, 1979. Radicals of Algebras and a Structure Theory. Moscow, Nauka.
9. Rowen, L.H., 1988. Ring Theory. Vol.1, Acad. Press. INC., Boston.