

Original Research Paper

# A Greater dose of Ractopamine Hydrochloride Enhances Feedlot Performance and Impacts Carcass Characteristics of Calf-Fed Holstein Steers

<sup>1</sup>Jerilyn E. Hergenreder, <sup>2</sup>Jonathon L. Beckett, <sup>3</sup>Zachary K. Smith and <sup>1</sup>Bradley J. Johnson

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal and Food Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA

<sup>2</sup>Beckett Consulting Services, Fort Collins, CO 80524, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Science, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD 57007, USA

## Article history

Received: 21-01-2021

Revised: 03-03-2021

Accepted: 25-02-2021

## Corresponding Author:

Bradley J. Johnson

Department of Animal and Food Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409

Email: bradley.johnson@ttu.edu

**Abstract:** The objective was to evaluate the effects of supplementing ractopamine HCl at the rate of 400 mg steer<sup>-1</sup> daily in the final 28 d of the feeding period on growth performance and carcass characteristics of Holstein steers. Steers (n = 1,498; initial BW = 547 kg) were randomly assigned to pens (10 pens/treatment) and to a treatment: (1) 0 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> of RH (CON); (2) Ractopamine HCl fed at 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> of RH (RH) for evaluation over a 28 d period. Steers were fed a finishing diet based on steam-flaked corn and alfalfa hay. Dry matter intake decreased, Average Daily Gain (ADG) was increased and improved feed efficiency ( $P<0.05$ ) was noted in RH steers. Steers supplemented with RH had heavier final Body Weight (BW) and HCW, greater Dressing Percentage (DP) and larger Longissimus Muscle (LM) area ( $P<0.05$ ). Ractopamine HCl steers had improved yield grades, as evidenced by a greater percentage of yield grade 1 and a decreased percentage of yield grade 3 ( $P<0.05$ ) carcasses. Steers supplemented RH had a decreased percentage of cattle grading USDA Choice and a greater percent grading USDA Select ( $P<0.05$ ). These data indicate that 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup> daily of ractopamine HCl fed to Holstein steers may improve feedlot phase growth performance, DP, HCW and LM area while having minimal impact on USDA quality grade distribution.

**Keywords:** Beta-Adrenergic Agonist, Carcass Grade, Feedlot Growth Performance, Holstein Steer, Ractopamine Hydrochloride

## Introduction

Beta-Adrenergic Agonists (BAA) are repartitioning agents that increase muscle accretion and decrease fat accumulation while simultaneously improving feed efficiency in finishing cattle (Sissom *et al.*, 2007). Oral administrations of BAA have been reported to increase lean tissue deposition and decrease fat accretion in cattle, pigs, poultry and sheep (Mersmann, 1998). These BAA are a relatively new technology utilized in the U.S. beef industry to improve feedlot growth performance (Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Winterholler *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2009; Bass *et al.*, 2009; Scramlin *et al.*, 2010) and carcass characteristics (Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Sissom *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2009; Boler *et al.*, 2012). Ractopamine HCl (RH: Optaflexx<sup>®</sup>; Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN) was the first BAA approved for use in beef cattle, fed for

the final 28 to 42 d of the finishing period in 2003 by the U.S. FDA (Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2009).

There are more than 3 million Holstein steers available for feeding each year and these cattle represent a substantial portion of feedlot cattle in the U.S. (Duff and Anderson, 2007; Duff and McMurphy, 2007). Feeding Holsteins has become more popular due to their lack of genetic diversity, predictable gains and ability produce lean, high quality carcasses (Young *et al.*, 1978; Thonney, 1987; Duff and Anderson, 2007). However, Holsteins generally have smaller LMA and decreased DP (Duff and Anderson, 2007). Other methods currently utilized to increase lean deposition and improve efficiency include the use of anabolic hormone implants (Thonney, 1987).

Ractopamine HCl fed to finishing Holstein steers during the finishing phase has been reported to positively impact feedlot growth performance, HCW and LMA

with minimal impacts on quality grade when fed at concentrations of 200 to 300 mg steer<sup>-1</sup> daily for 28 to 36 d prior to harvest (Bass *et al.*, 2009; Vogel *et al.*, 2009). As mentioned above, multiple studies have elucidated the effects of 200 to 300 mg RH daily; however, to our knowledge, no research has observed the effects of feeding a greater concentration of RH.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of feeding RH at a concentration of 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> for the last 28 d of the feeding period on feedlot performance and carcass characteristics of Holstein steers.

## Materials and Methods

### *Experimental Location and Ethics*

All procedures related to cattle handling and care were done according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching described by (FASS, 1999). This study was conducted at a commercial beef cattle feedlot and abattoir in Brawley, CA.

### *Animals and Management*

Holstein steers (n = 1498) were sourced in southern CA and were fed in a commercial feed yard approximately 310 days prior to the onset of this study. Cattle used in the present experiment were of good health prior to the initiation of the trial. Trained personnel assessed health and wellbeing daily throughout the course of the study. Initial processing of steers occurred prior to study initiation and cattle were subjected to vaccination and health and intake management practices in accordance with the protocols of the feedlot which are typical for Holstein steers fed in the Southwest U.S. Rations were formulated to meet or exceed the (NRC, 1996) requirements for growing and finishing beef cattle. Finisher diets were formulated to contain approximately 12.5% crude protein, 3.0% non-protein nitrogen and 10% total fat (Table 1). Treatment diets were fed *ad libitum* throughout the study. Complete ration composition profiles were obtained 6 times throughout the study (Table 1). Individual ration samples were sent to SDK Laboratory (Hutchinson, KS) for analyses and tabular ingredient energy values were used for determination of dietary net energy content (NRC, 1996). The sample were assayed for moisture, Crude Protein (CP), Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF), Ether Extract (EE), Calcium (Ca), Phosphorus (P), potassium (K) and zinc (Table 1). At the initiation of the trial, samples of the medicated top dress were analyzed for RH (Covance Labs, Elanco Assays-418, Greenfield, IN). Pens were observed daily by trained personnel to identify and remove steers with observable signs or symptoms of health and/or lameness issues.

### *Experimental Design and Treatments*

Steers were randomized to study pens by receiving lot using a gate-cut procedure. Each replicate (n = 10) came from a lot that was gate-cut to form 2 pens (1 pen/treatment). Steers were blocked by lot and slaughter date. A total of 20 pens (n = 75 head/pen) were used and randomly assigned to one of two treatments: (1) 0 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> of RH (CON; n = 10 pens) or 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> of RH (RH; n = 10 pens). Treatment diets were administered the final 28 d of the finishing period. Upon trial initiation, steers were weighed by pen on a platform scale (initial BW was reduced by 4% to account for digestive tract fill). Steers in the RH treatment were administered RH via medicated top dress pellet. Medicated top dress consisted of 54.5 kg/ton RH pellet individually added to the bunk of each of the RH treatment pens daily. Ractopamine HCl concentration on average was 37.9 g/ton of DM (400 mg/9.62 kg of dry feed).

### *Harvest and Carcass Evaluation*

Steers were weighed by pen on a platform scale (final BW was reduced by 4% to represent a standard industry shrink) prior to shipment to the abattoir. Steers were transported to a nearby abattoir in southern CA, where they were harvested under USDA-FSIS inspection. Pens of cattle were maintained as lots when presented for slaughter. Trained personnel tracked individual identity throughout the harvest procedure. Carcasses were chilled approximately 36 h prior to grading. Individual carcass measurements included HCW, 12th rib fat depth (BF), LMA, KPH % and Marbling Score (MS) determined via a digital camera grading system. Yield grade and quality grade information was recorded as assigned by USDA. Dressing percentage for each pen was calculated as the mean HCW/mean shrunk (4% pencil shrink) live weight ×100.

### *Statistical Analysis*

Data were analyzed using the mixed procedure of SAS (version 9.3, SAS Institute, Inc.; Cary, NC). The model included block and harvest date as random effects and treatment served as a fixed effect. Pen served as the experimental unit for feedlot growth performance and carcass characteristics. Initial weight was used as a covariate (unstructured variance). Treatment means were separated using a single degree of freedom comparisons protected by a significant (P≤0.05) F-test. Categorical data (USDA YG and QG) data were analyzed via the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. Initial weight served as a covariate (unstructured variance) in categorical data as well. Data are presented as least squares means plus or minus the standard error of the mean. An  $\alpha$  0.05 was used to determine significance and tendencies were discussed between and  $\alpha$  of 0.06 and 0.10.

**Table 1:** Ingredient and chemical composition (% , DM basis) of the experimental designs<sup>1,2</sup>

Item	Treatments	
	Control	RAC <sup>3</sup>
Wheat straw	3.56	3.56
Sudan hay	6.68	6.68
Corn-steam flaked	57.94	51.36
Dried distillers grains	12.32	12.32
Bakery waste	8.70	8.70
Yellow grease	4.99	4.99
Ractopamine premix	0.00	6.58
Finisher supplement	5.81	5.81
Net Energy for Maintenance, Mcal/kg	2.14	2.14
Net Energy for Gain, Mcal/kg	1.53	1.53
<b>Analyzed composition</b>		
Dry Matter, %	82.82	82.82
Crude Protein, %	13.31	13.31
Acid Detergent Fiber, %	9.83	9.83
Fat, %	9.80	9.80
Calcium, %	0.79	0.79
Phosphorus, %	0.39	0.39
Potassium, %	0.84	0.84
Zinc, ppm	97.61	97.61

<sup>1</sup>Diets were formulated to meet or exceed NRC (1996) requirements for growing-finishing beef cattle.

<sup>2</sup>Diets contained 33.3 g/ton monensin (Rumensin: Elanco, Greenfield, IN).

<sup>3</sup>Finisher diet formulated to contain 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> ractopamine HCl (Optaflexx: Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN).

## Results and Discussion

Dry Matter Intake (DMI) was decreased by 0.5 kg·steer·d<sup>-1</sup> ( $P<0.05$ ; Table 2) in RH cattle. This data is similar to that reported by (Quinn *et al.*, 2008) when 300 mg·heifer<sup>-1</sup>·d of RH was administered to heifers for 28 d prior to harvest. In contrast to data from this study, a decrease in DMI has not been observed in several other studies (Walker *et al.*, 2006; Winterholler *et al.*, 2008; Strydom *et al.*, 2009) in which no difference in DMI was reported when RH was fed. The RH steers had greater Average Daily Gain (ADG; 1.61 kg Vs. 1.38 kg  $\pm$ 0.08, respectively;  $P<0.05$ ) when compared to CON steers. In other studies, ADG was increased 0.02 to 0.63 kg when RH was fed to steers and heifers at 200 or 300 mg·steer or heifer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> for 28 to 38 d (Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Sissom *et al.*, 2007; Winterholler *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2009; Bass *et al.*, 2009; Scramlin *et al.*, 2010). Due to increased ADG, RH steers had heavier final live weights (7 kg increase;  $P<0.05$ ). Increased final BW has also been reported by (Bass *et al.*, 2009; Vogel *et al.*, 2009) who reported Holstein steers administered RH, exhibited increases in final live weight by 7 to 12 kg. Other studies have reported a 4.7 to 11.0 kg increase in final live weights compared to non-supplemented cattle when RH was fed to native beef steers and heifers (Walker *et al.*, 2006; Winterholler *et al.*, 2007; Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Scramlin *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore in this study, RH

steers had a lower F:G ratio (5.98 Vs. 7.39 $\pm$ 0.21;  $P<0.05$ ) than CON steers. These data are similar to that described by (Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Winterholler *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2009), that reported steers administered RH increased gain to feed ratio.

The RH steers had greater DP ( $P<0.05$ ) and HCW ( $P<0.05$ ) compared to CON steers (Table 3). Boler *et al.* (2012) also reported increases in DP and HCW when steers were administered RH. Control steers had a smaller LMA ( $P<0.05$ ) and tended to have increased BF ( $P<0.05$ ), thus increasing the calculated YG ( $P<0.05$ ) compared to RH steers (2.1 Vs. 2.3 $\pm$ 0.04). A decrease in Yield Grade (YG) has been previously reported by (Sissom *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2009) when utilizing RH in steers and heifers. The RH steers had a greater percentage of YG 1 (9.27 Vs. 3.08 $\pm$ 1.14%;  $P<0.05$ ) and a decreased percentage of YG 3 (23.79 Vs. 37.29 $\pm$ 3.29%;  $P<0.05$ ) carcasses when compared to CON (Table 4). Similarly, (Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2009) reported an increase in YG 1 and 2 carcasses when RH was administered. There was no difference in the percentage of YG 2 (65.86 Vs. 59.09 $\pm$ 3.32%;  $P>0.10$ ) or YG 4 (1.08 Vs. 0.53 $\pm$ 0.29%;  $P>0.10$ ) between treatments. There was no difference in marbling score ( $P>0.10$ ) between treatments. Likewise, previous studies have also reported no difference in marbling score when steers or heifers were administered RH (Walker *et al.*, 2006; Gruber *et al.*, 2007; Sissom *et al.*,

2007; Winterholler *et al.*, 2007; Strydom *et al.*, 2009; Scramlin *et al.*, 2010; Boler *et al.*, 2012). A change in the distribution of quality grades was observed between treatments. Control steers had a greater percentage of USDA Choice when compared to RH (63.26 Vs.

56.74±2.32%;  $P<0.05$ ) and a decreased percentage of USDA Select (34.94 Vs. 41.69±2.30%;  $P<0.05$ ) carcasses. In contrast to data obtained in this study, (Boler *et al.*, 2012; Gruber *et al.*, 2007) reported no differences in carcass quality grade distributions.

**Table 2:** Growth performance response of Holstein steers to ractopamine hydrochloride dosage

Item	Treatments <sup>1</sup>		MSE <sup>2</sup>	P-Value
	Control	RAC		
Initial weight, kg <sup>4,5</sup>	547.000	547.000	7.17	0.927
Final weight, kg <sup>3,4,5</sup>	587.000	594.000	2.21	<0.001
Dry Matter Intake (DMI), kg <sup>3,4</sup>	10.070	9.570	0.30	0.010
Average Daily Gain (ADG), kg <sup>3,4</sup>	1.380	1.610	0.08	<0.001
DMI/ADG <sup>3,4</sup>	7.390	5.980	0.21	<0.001
ADG/DMI <sup>6</sup>	0.135	0.167	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Control = 0 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> ractopamineHCl; RAC = 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> ractopamine HCl (Optaflexx: Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN)

<sup>2</sup>Mean square error

<sup>3</sup>Initial weight included as covariate

<sup>4</sup>Weight multiplied by 0.96

<sup>5</sup>Harvest date included as covariate

<sup>6</sup>1/F:G

**Table 3:** Effect of ractopamine hydrochloride on carcass traits of Holstein steers

Item	Treatments <sup>1</sup>		MSE <sup>2</sup>	P-Value
	Control	RAC		
Dressing percent <sup>3</sup>	61.40	62.10	0.17	0.007
HCW, kg <sup>3</sup>	360.00	368.00	4.13	0.005
Marbling score <sup>3,4</sup>	430.00	425.00	3.70	0.344
LMA, square cm <sup>3,5</sup>	74.10	78.20	0.08	< 0.001
Calculated YG <sup>3,5</sup>	2.30	2.10	0.04	0.001
12 <sup>th</sup> rib back-fat, cm <sup>3</sup>	0.66	0.61	0.01	0.070

<sup>1</sup>Control = 0 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> ractopamine HCl; RAC = 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> ractopamine HCl (Optaflexx: Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN)

<sup>2</sup>Mean square error

<sup>3</sup>Harvest date included as covariate

<sup>4</sup>Marbling Score; Slight = 300, Small = 400, Modest = 500

<sup>5</sup>Calculated YG = 2.50 + (2.50 \* adjusted fat thickness, in) + (0.20 \* percent KPH) + (0.0038 \* HCW, pounds)-(0.32 \* REA, in<sup>2</sup>).

**Table 4** Effect of ractopamine hydrochloride dosage on quality and Yield Grade (YG) distribution of Holstein steers

Item <sup>3</sup>	Treatments <sup>1</sup>		MSE <sup>2</sup>	P-Value
	Control	RAC		
YG 1, %	3.08	9.27	1.14	<0.001
YG 2, %	59.09	65.86	3.32	0.126
YG 3, %	37.29	23.79	3.29	0.005
YG 4, %	0.53	1.08	0.29	0.126
Prime, %	1.22	1.76	0.28	0.190
Choice, %	63.26	56.74	2.32	0.042
Select, %	34.94	41.69	2.30	0.035
No Roll, %	1.00	2.21	0.40	0.153

<sup>1</sup>Control = 0 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> ractopamine HCl; RAC = 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> ractopamine HCl (Optaflexx: Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN)

<sup>2</sup>Mean square error

<sup>3</sup>Harvest date included as covariate

Administering a high dose of RH to Holstein steers for the final 28 d of the feeding period improved feedlot growth performance, but the greatest advantages may be observed in carcass parameters. In this study, supplementing steers with 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> of RH for 28 d increased DP, HCW, LMA and did not affect marbling score, thus increasing the value and profitability of the carcass for both the packer and producer. When comparing this study to other studies that fed 200 or 300 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> RH to Holstein steers, the greatest advantages were observed in carcass parameters. Administering a concentration of 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> RH to Holsteins, increased DP by 0.7 percent, HCW by 8 kg, LMA by 4.1 cm<sup>2</sup> and did not affect marbling scores. In other Holstein studies administering 200 or 300 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> RH increased HCW by up to 6 kg, LMA 2.78 cm<sup>2</sup> and did not change DP (Bass *et al.*, 2009; Vogel *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, this study had a greater increase on the percentage of YG 1 (6.19%) and decrease of YG 3 (13.5%) carcasses compared to (Vogel *et al.*, 2009) who reported a 4.5% increase in YG 1 and an 8% decrease in YG 3 carcasses.

## Conclusion

This study demonstrates that administering 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> of RH to Holstein steers during the final 28 d on feed enhances daily gain and gain efficiency, as well as increases carcass muscularity. The lack of detrimental impact on marbling when RH was fed at a rate of 400 mg·steer<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> to calf-fed Holsteins allows for enhanced productivity without detriment to marbling scores compared to commonly used feeding rates of RH.

## Acknowledgment

Supported by the Gordon W. Davis Regents Chair in Meat Science and Muscle Biology Endowment at Texas Tech University, Lubbock.

## Author Contributions

**Jerilyn E. Hergenreder and Zachary K. Smith:** Formal analysis and writing the original version of the manuscript.

**Jonathon L. Beckett:** Trial execution, study design and editing the final version of the manuscript.

**Bradley J. Johnson:** Trial execution, formal analysis, editing the final version of the manuscript.

## Ethics

All procedures were done according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching described by (FASS, 1999).

## References

- Bass, P. D., Beckett, J. L., & Delmore Jr, R. J. (2009). Case study: Effects of ractopamine in combination with various hormone implant regimens on growth and carcass attributes in calf-fed Holstein steers. Professional animal scientist. <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201301615809>
- Boler, D. D., Shreck, A. L., Faulkner, D. B., Killefer, J., McKeith, F. K., Homm, J. W., & Scanga, J. A. (2012). Effect of ractopamine hydrochloride (Optaflexx) dose on live animal performance, carcass characteristics and tenderness in early weaned beef steers. *Meat Science*, 92(4), 458-463. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0309174012001751>
- Duff, G. C., & Anderson, P. T. (2007). Comparative performance of Holstein vs. beef breeds in the feedlot. In Proc. 22nd Annu. Southwest Nutr. Manage. Conf., Univ. of Arizona, Tucson. Univ. Arizona, Tucson (pp. 27-36).
- Duff, G. C., & McMurphy, C. P. (2007). Feeding Holstein steers from start to finish. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice*, 23(2), 281-297. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0749072007000242>
- FASS. (1999). Guide for the care and use of agricultural animals in agricultural research and teaching. Federation of Animal Science Societies. [https://www.asas.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/agguide\\_4th.pdf?sfvrsn=56b44ed1\\_2](https://www.asas.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/agguide_4th.pdf?sfvrsn=56b44ed1_2)
- Gruber, S. L., Tatum, J. D., Engle, T. E., Mitchell, M. A., Laudert, S. B., Schroeder, A. L., & Platter, W. J. (2007). Effects of ractopamine supplementation on growth performance and carcass characteristics of feedlot steers differing in biological type. *Journal of Animal Science*, 105(7), 1809-1815. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/85/7/1809/4788888>
- Mersmann, H. J. (1998). Overview of the effects of  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor agonists on animal growth including mechanisms of action. *Journal of Animal Science*, 86(1), 160-172. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/76/1/160/4625179>
- NRC. (1996). *Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle*. 7th ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC. National Research Council.
- Quinn, M. J., Reinhardt, C. D., Loe, E. R., Depenbusch, B. E., Corrigan, M. E., May, M. L., & Drouillard, J. S. (2008). The effects of ractopamine-hydrogen chloride (Optaflexx) on performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of finishing feedlot heifers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 106(4), 902-908. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/86/4/902/4788986>

- Scramlin, S. M., Platter, W. J., Gomez, R. A., Choat, W. T., McKeith, F. K., & Killefer, J. (2010). Comparative effects of ractopamine hydrochloride and zilpaterol hydrochloride on growth performance, carcass traits and longissimus tenderness of finishing steers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 88(5), 1823-1829. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/88/5/1823/4745652>
- Sissom, E. K., Reinhardt, C. D., Hutcheson, J. P., Nichols, W. T., Yates, D. A., Swingle, R. S., & Johnson, B. J. (2007). Response to ractopamine-HCl in heifers is altered by implant strategy across days on feed. *Journal of Animal Science*, 85(9), 2125-2132. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/85/9/2125/4778330>
- Strydom, P. E., Frylinck, L., Montgomery, J. L., & Smith, M. F. (2009). The comparison of three  $\beta$ -agonists for growth performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of feedlot cattle. *Meat Science*, 81(3), 557-564. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0309174008003434>
- Thoney, M. L. (1987). Growth, feed efficiency and variation of individually fed Angus, Polled Hereford and Holstein steers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 65(1), 1-8. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/65/1/1/4662290>
- Vogel, G. J., Duff, G. C., Lehmkuhler, J., Beckett, J. L., Drouillard, J. S., Schroeder, A. L., ... & Laudert, S. B. (2009). Effect of ractopamine hydrochloride on growth performance and carcass traits in calf-fed and yearling Holstein steers fed to slaughter. *The Professional Animal Scientist*, 25(1), 26-32. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1080744615306756>
- Walker, D. K., Titgemeyer, E. C., Drouillard, J. S., Loe, E. R., Depenbusch, B. E., & Webb, A. S. (2006). Effects of ractopamine and protein source on growth performance and carcass characteristics of feedlot heifers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 84(10), 2795-2800. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/84/10/2795/4779114>
- Winterholler, S. J., Parsons, G. L., Reinhardt, C. D., Hutcheson, J. P., Nichols, W. T., Yates, D. A., ... & Johnson, B. J. (2007). Response to ractopamine-hydrogen chloride is similar in yearling steers across days on feed. *Journal of Animal Science*, 85(2), 413-419. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/85/2/413/4779555>
- Winterholler, S. J., Parsons, G. L., Walker, D. K., Quinn, M. J., Drouillard, J. S., & Johnson, B. J. (2008). Effect of feedlot management system on response to ractopamine-HCl in yearling steers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 86(9), 2401-2414. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article/86/9/2401/4789794?login=true>
- Young, L. D., Cundiff, L. V., Crouse, J. D., Smith, G. M., & Gregory, K. E. (1978). Characterization of biological types of cattle. VIII. Postweaning growth and carcass traits of three-way cross steers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 46(5), 1178-1191. <https://academic.oup.com/jas/article-abstract/46/5/1178/4700764>